UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,193	07/10/2006	Gerd Dahms	4266-0110PUS1	7394	
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 10/06/201 LBURN LLP	EXAMINER			
20 Church Stree	et	SOROUSH, ALI			
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 06	5103	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/550,193	DAHMS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
ALI SOROUSH	1617

	ALI SOLICOSIT	1017
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the proposed amendment after a final rejection in both and the proposed amendment after a final rejection in both and the proposed amendment after a final rejection in both and the proposed amendment after a final rejection, by the proposed amendment after a final rejection and by	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ N);	ΓE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-25 and 31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues that Vanlerberghe ett al. disclose that the same order of addition positively claimed by Applicant's inconsidered but found not to be persuasive. The courts has prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946); In Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to one phase B with phase A since both methods result in the formal prima facie of the same	he ageous phase (A) is added to the normal phase B is added to phase B ave held that selection of any ordered results (Ex Parte Rubin, 128 USF or Gibson, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 20 of ordinary skill in the art at the tire	ne wax phase (B), which is not the A. Applicant's argument has been fully or of performing proceess steps is PQ 440 (BD. App. 1959); In re 30 (CCPA 1930); MPEP 2144.04). The of the instant invention to mix
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.	
/A. S./	/KARLHEINZ R SKOWRONEK/	
Examiner, Art Unit 1617	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20110929